

Nuestro Pueblo Awards

The mission of the Nuestro Pueblo Awards is to promote community involvement and advancement of our Hispanic cultural heritage by recognizing individuals and/or organizations that are making a difference in “Nuestro Pueblo” (our community). The honoree selected should serve as a role model for compassion, and service and be striving to make the world a better place. He/ she should have a passion for helping others in their communities.

Eligibility

The “Nuestro Pueblo Award” was developed to honor hispanics who are making significant contributions to their community through their time, actions, talents and dedication in Atlantic County. In the category of Nuestro “Amigo” and Organizational “Amigo” the award may be presented to non-latinos that support the Hispanic community in Atlantic County.

Any citizen or organization in Atlantic County can be nominated with the exception of any board member of HAAC or any member of the Awards committee including judges.

Criteria

Nominees should be individuals who have exhibited outstanding leadership within their community and who possess exceptional personal integrity and reflect the highest standards of service and commitment to the Latino population in Atlantic County.

Judging Criteria

1. The degree to which their services/ actions have made a lasting or meaningful contribution or impact on their community - 40%
 - Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
2. The length and degree of their service(s) – 20%
 - Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
3. The extent to which their service or action might be considered above and beyond the call of duty – 20%
 - Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
4. The extent to which their action would be recognized and valued by their peers/ community leaders- 20%
 - Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0

Judging Criteria

5. The degree to which their services/ actions have made a lasting or meaningful contribution or impact on their community - 40%
 - Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
6. The length and degree of their service(s) – 20%
 - Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
7. The extent to which their service or action might be considered above and beyond the call of duty – 20%
 - Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
8. The extent to which their action would be recognized and valued by their peers/ community leaders- 20%
 - Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0

Category: Education

Nominee: _____

Judging Criteria

9. The degree to which their services/ actions have made a lasting or meaningful contribution or impact on their community - 40%
 - Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
10. The length and degree of their service(s) – 20%
 - Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
11. The extent to which their service or action might be considered above and beyond the call of duty – 20%
 - Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
12. The extent to which their action would be recognized and valued by their peers/ community leaders- 20%
 - Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0

Judging Criteria

13. The degree to which their services/ actions have made a lasting or meaningful contribution or impact on their community - 40%
 - Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
14. The length and degree of their service(s) – 20%
 - Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
15. The extent to which their service or action might be considered above and beyond the call of duty – 20%
 - Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
16. The extent to which their action would be recognized and valued by their peers/ community leaders- 20%
 - Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0

Judging Criteria

17. The degree to which their services/ actions have made a lasting or meaningful contribution or impact on their community - 40%
- Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
18. The length and degree of their service(s) – 20%
- Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
19. The extent to which their service or action might be considered above and beyond the call of duty – 20%
- Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
20. The extent to which their action would be recognized and valued by their peers/ community leaders- 20%
- Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0

Category: STEM

Nominee: _____

Judging Criteria

21. The degree to which their services/ actions have made a lasting or meaningful contribution or impact on their community - 40%
 - Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
22. The length and degree of their service(s) – 20%
 - Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
23. The extent to which their service or action might be considered above and beyond the call of duty – 20%
 - Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
24. The extent to which their action would be recognized and valued by their peers/ community leaders- 20%
 - Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0

Judging Criteria

25. The degree to which their services/ actions have made a lasting or meaningful contribution or impact on their community - 40%
- Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
26. The length and degree of their service(s) – 20%
- Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
27. The extent to which their service or action might be considered above and beyond the call of duty – 20%
- Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
28. The extent to which their action would be recognized and valued by their peers/ community leaders- 20%
- Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0

Judging Criteria

29. The degree to which their services/ actions have made a lasting or meaningful contribution or impact on their community - 40%
- Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
30. The length and degree of their service(s) – 20%
- Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
31. The extent to which their service or action might be considered above and beyond the call of duty – 20%
- Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
32. The extent to which their action would be recognized and valued by their peers/ community leaders- 20%
- Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0

Category: Nuestro “Amigo”

Nominee: _____

Judging Criteria

33. The degree to which their services/ actions have made a lasting or meaningful contribution or impact on their community - 40%
- Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
34. The length and degree of their service(s) – 20%
- Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
35. The extent to which their service or action might be considered above and beyond the call of duty – 20%
- Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
36. The extent to which their action would be recognized and valued by their peers/ community leaders- 20%
- Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0

Category: Organizational “Amigo”

Nominee: _____

Judging Criteria

37. The degree to which their services/ actions have made a lasting or meaningful contribution or impact on their community - 40%
- Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
38. The length and degree of their service(s) – 20%
- Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
39. The extent to which their service or action might be considered above and beyond the call of duty – 20%
- Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0
40. The extent to which their action would be recognized and valued by their peers/ community leaders- 20%
- Excellent = 10
 - Very Good = 8
 - Good = 6
 - Fair = 5
 - Marginal = 3
 - Inadequate = 0

Final Results: Scoring the judges results

Calculating the Weighted Average: multiply each number by its weight factor and then sum the resulting numbers up

Ex. Criteria #1(40%) + Criteria #2(20%) + Criteria #3(20%) + Criteria#4 = Total

The nominee with the highest total of each of the categories wins.